	FILED			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	US DISTRICT COURT E.D N.Y			
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	★ MAY 1 3 2005 ★			
Michael J. Wang, M.D. Plaintiff,	LONG ISLAND OFFICE			
-Against-	CV 05-1861 (JS) (ARL)			
United States Medical License Examination Secretariat,	(JS) (ARL)			
Defendant,				
PLAINTIFF PROPOSED SF	PECIAL VERDICT FORM			
We, the Jury in the above-entitled action, find				
evidence:	the following by a preponderance of the			
Question No. 1:				
Did Defendant violate plaintiff's right	under the United States Constitution which			
includes due process, and equal protection rigl	nt?			
Yes No				
Question No. 2:				
Did defendant violate 42 U.S.C. Section	on 2000(e) that include racial and/or			
national origin discrimination, and retaliation	for complaint about discrimination?			
Yes No				
Question No. 3:				
Did defendant violate 42 U.S.C. Section	on 1981 that include racial discrimination?			
Yes No				
Question No. 4:				
Did defendant act under color of State	law, deprived plaintiff of a federal statutory			
and Constitutional right in violation of 42 U.S.	·			
Yes No				

Question No. 5:
Did defendant and SUNY act in furtherance of the conspiracy in purpose of
depriving plaintiff's equal privilege and equal protection right in violation of 42 U.S.C.
Section 1985?
Yes No
Question No. 6:
Did defendant intentionally inflict injure plaintiff and deprived plaintiff's right
and privilege being a citizen of the United States in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1986?
Yes No
Question No. 7:
Did defendant violate plaintiff's right under New York Constitution that include
due process, and equal protection right?
Yes No
Question No. 8:
Did defendant violate New York State Executive Law Section 296 that includes
race and/or national origin discrimination, and retaliation for complaint about
discrimination?
Yes No
Question No. 9:
Did defendant intentionally inflict plaintiff emotional distress?
Yes No
Question No. 10:
Did defendant intentionally interference with plaintiff's prospective economic
advantage by interference with his efforts for new employment?
Yes No

Quest	ion No.	11:				
Did d	efendan	t slander and/o	libel plaintiff and was	motiva	ated by malice?	
	Yes_		No			
Quest	ion No.	12:				
Solely with respect to those adverse actions upon above. What do you find are						
the total amo	unt of d	amages suffered	d by plaintiff caused by	defend	dants?	
			DAMAGES			
We, the Jury	award tl	he plaintiff com	pensatory and punitive	damag	ged against defendant	
as follow:						
Pursuant to F	ed.R. C	iv. P. 26(a)(1)(0	C). Plaintiff's damages	have n	ot yet been specifically	
calculates, bu	t can be	expected to inc	clude the following:			
Back Pay Da	mages	(From date of to	ermination until today)			
PGY-3	2001	\$49,064				
PGY-4	2002	\$53,000				
PGY-5	2003	\$57,000				
PGY-6	2004	\$61,000				
PGY-7	2005	\$65,000				
Total \$						
Front Pay Da	amages	(From July 200	3 until his projected re	tiremer	nt in 2037 at age 70	
As a practicin	ıg anestl	nesiologist at ar	average starting salary	of \$30	04,341)	
=\$304,341 x	34			Total	\$	
Pain, suffering, humiliation, mental anguish, emotional distress, and harm to						
professional	reputat	ion.				
= \$500,000 x	4			Total	\$	

Other Compensatory Damages	Total \$					
Question No. 13:						
Do you find that defendant intentionally inf	flict plaintiff emotional distress, acted					
with malice toward Dr. Wang, defamation with a malice, and reckless disregard to his						
federal and state protected right under the Title VII	I of the Civil Right Act of 1964					
allowing him to recover punitive damages?						
[If the answer to question No. 13is "Yes" fi	ill the amount of punitive damages					
below]						
Punitive Damages \$						
To be signed by all Juror and dated by the forepers	on					
Juror, Juror						
Foreperson						
Date						
Dated: May 11, 2005						
2000 May 11, 2000						
cc. Michael J. Puma Esq.	Respectfully submitted					
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	1 20 0 1 11000					
1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921	ushel J, wan					

Michael J. Wang, MD